GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Departmental Proceedings initiated against Sri G. Ravi, former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor for the irregularity committed by him – Under rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1991 – Written statement of defence received – further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE.III) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 1393 Dated: 21-11-2019

Read the following:

1) G.O.Ms.No.542, Revenue (Vigillance.III) Department, Dt:25.10.2018
2) G.O.Rt.No.1483, Revenue (Vigillance.III) Department, Dt:25.10.2018.
3) Written statement of defense Dt:18.01.2019 of Sri G. Ravi, the then Superintendent, E-Section, Collectorate, Chittoor District.

---***---

ORDER:

In the G.O 1st read above sanction was accorded for Common disciplinary proceedings under Rule 24 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991 against Sri M.S. Muni Pratap, formerly Tahsildar Kurabalakota Mandal Chittoor District (now retired) and Sri G.Ravi, former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor for irregularities committed in attending before the Government in connection with Lokayukta complaint No.1661/2013/B1.

2. In the G.O.2nd read above, disciplinary proceedings were initiated by framing the following Articles of Charge under Rule 20 of A.P.C.S (CC & A) Rules 1991 against Sri G.Ravi,former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor, for violating the APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 while working as Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor.

Articles of Charge:-

> That he being a responsible Government Officer, while working as Superintendent, E-Section, Collector's Office, Chittoor, has failed to respond in a responsible and respectful manner to the phone received on 02-03-2017 from the then Tahsildar, Kurabalakonta, who was deputed to attend before the Government in connection with Lokayukta complaint No.1661/2013/B1 filed by Sri U.V. Ramana before the Hon'ble Lokayukta, Hyderabad, to get acquaintance of the subject to brief the same to the concerned Assistant Secretary of the Government. This shows his gross negligence in performing his official duties. Thus, he has violated Rule 3 (1) (2) (3) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

3. In reply, the Charged Officer has submitted his Written Statement of defence vide his representation 3rd read above stating that the District Collector, Chittoor was asked to depute responsible person with records pertaining to Lokayuktha Complaint No.1661/2013/B1. Since he was attending to the MLC Elections, he has obtained permission from the District Collector, who gave directions that, the then Tahsildar, Kutabalakbta, Sri M.S.Muni Prathap attended the case along with records. After handing over the records to him, he gave him the every details of the case along with a brief note to understand the case. Though not directly related, Sri M.S. Muniprathap is the Tahsildar concerned related to the subject and he is also one of the responsible persons who should answer issues relating to the Lokayukhta complaint. Further he has stated that after handing over the material to Sri M.S. Muni Prathap, he has once again reminded him to not to forget that, he was attending on behalf of the District Collector, Chittoor and also told him that, he can guide him over phone if so required.

He gave a letter addressed from District Collector explaining the deputation of Sri M.S. Muniprathap for attending the case before the Government and asked him to go

through the papers thoroughly before attending the office at Secretariat. On the next day, a phone call from Sri M.S. Muni Prathap was received that the Asst Secretary questioned him regarding his absence before the committee. He politely replied him that, he was on Elections related work and Sri M.S. Muni Prathap was deputed on the orders of the District Collector. Sri M.S. Muni Prathap was the officer concerned, in who's jurisdiction the subject prevails and that, he has briefed him and also promised that, he can guide him properly to exhibit the required documents. After satisfying himself, he asked Sri M.S. Muni Prathap to show the documents. Further, he has stated that he never irritated his superiors at any point and he was humble to superiors and submissive to his collegues also. He never scolded his subordinates or even village servants at any time. But he do not know what Sri M.S. M Prathap told the Assistant Secretary Committee. Therefore, he has requested the Govt., to drop the charges and promised that he will be humble to his superior officers in his remaining period of service.

4. Government, after careful examination of the Written Statement of Defence Submitted by Sri G.Ravi, former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor have decided to accept the same and drop further action against him in this matter.

5. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Sri G.Ravi, former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, Chittoor in this matter.

6. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration & Special Chief Secretary, Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

V.USHARANI
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri G.Ravi, former Superintendent, E-Section O/o the District Collector, (now retired),
(Through the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, AP., Vijayawada).
The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, AP, Vijayawada.
Copy to:
The District Collector, Chittoor.
The Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
The Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Andhra Pradesh, Ibrahimpatnam, Vijayawada
The Pay and Accounts officer, Andhra Pradesh, Ibrahimpatnam, Vijayawada
SF/SC

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER